

**BACHELOR OF LAWS - LL.B. (THREE YEAR DEGREE COURSE) (CBCS-2021 COURSE)**  
**LL.B. Sem - I : SUMMER : 2025**  
**SUBJECT: CONSTITUTIONAL LAW : MEDIA & LAW**

Day : Tuesday  
Date : 29/04/2025

**S-24997-2025**

Time : 02:00 PM-04:30 PM  
Max. Marks : 60

**N.B.:**

- 1) All questions are **COMPULSORY**.
- 2) All questions carry **EQUAL** marks.

**Q.1 A** Discuss the various perspectives of the media which are protected by Indian Constitution.

**OR**

**Q.1 B** Explain the meaning of media and discuss the relevant history of the media in India.

**Q2 A** 'Censor board is never free from the intervention of central and state government' Justify the statement in the light of Vishwaroopam film controversy, and banning of film Kissa Kursi Ka by the central government.

**OR**

**Q.2 B** Write a detailed note on Law of Defamation

**Q.3 A** Give your opinion as to whether we need the colonial legislation named Official secret Act 1923, in today's age of information, in the light of the provisions of the said legislation.

**OR**

**Q.3 B** Discuss what should be the role of the media during the terror attack, in the light of the Taj terror attacks.

**Q.4 A** Discuss the concept of the Press Council and state its composition, powers and functions of the Press Council.

**OR**

**Q.4 B** Explain the concept of infringement of the copyright and also state the remedies for infringement.

**Q.5 A** Explain the facts, ratio and your opinion in the case of *Bobby Art International v. Om Pal Singh Hoon*.  
(i) v. *Om Pal Singh Hoon*.  
(ii) One newspaper was constantly criticizing the policies of the Central Government of India, and was praising the policies of a country which was hostile to India. The central government banned the circulation of the said newspaper. The owners of the said newspaper challenged the ban. Predict the possible outcome of the case.

**OR**

**Q.5 B** Explain the facts, ratio and your opinion in the case of *Santosh Kumar Singh v. State through CBI* (Priyadarshani Matoo case)  
(i) v. *State through CBI* (Priyadarshani Matoo case)  
(ii) In an interview a question was asked to famous actress about premarital sex. The actress replied there is no harm in involving if the parties are of age of majority and are consenting, however proper care regarding sexual hygiene and unwanted pregnancy should be taken. One NGO was offended and wanted the actress to apologize. The actress refused. The NGO filed a Writ Petition to curtail her view under the ground of decency and morality. Predict the possible outcome of the case.