BACHELOR OF LAWS - LL.B. (THREE YEAR DEGREE COURSE) (CBCS-2021 COURSE) LL.B. Sem - V : WINTER : 2024 SUBJECT: ADMINISTRATIVE LAW

Day: Monday

Date: 18/11/2024

W-25021-2024

Time: 10:00 AM-12:30 PM

Max. Marks: 60

N.B.:

- 1) All questions are **COMPULSORY**.
- 2) All questions carry **EQUAL** marks.

Q.1 a) Elaborate in detail the nature and scope of Administrative Law. Discuss the reasons for the growth of Administrative law in India.

OR

- Q.1 b) Explain in detail the notion of Rule of law as enunciated by Prof. A. V. Dicey.
- Q.2 a) "Essential legislative functions cannot be delegated by the legislature to the executives". Discuss the above statement with the help of decided cases.

OR

- Q.2 b) Enumerate and Justify the reasons responsible for enormous growth of delegated legislation in India.
- Q.3 a) "The principles of Natural Justice cannot be put in a strait- Jacket, their applicability depends upon the context, facts and circumstance of each case" Elucidate the above statement and elaborate the principle of Nemo Judex In Cuasa Sua with the help of decided cases.

OR

- Q.3 b) Explain the term "Public undertakings". Discuss the Parliamentary control on Public Undertakings.
- Q.4 a) Tortious Liability of the State has undergone a change in India and has become broader, explain the extent of tortious liability with the help of landmark decisions.

OR

- Q.4 b) An Ombudsman provides a valuable method of investigating complaints against government departments. Explain the powers and functions of Ombudsman.
- Q.5 a) Write a short note on:
 - i) Right to Information
 - ii) An Act of a State imposing sales tax on goods items mentioned in the schedule were exempted from tax. The Act conferred power on the state government to add or delete item from the schedule, before doing so, the government have to give three months notice and consult affected interests. Is the delegation valid? Explain.

OR

- Q.5 b) Write a short note on:
 - i) Central Vigilance Commission.
 - ii) 'A' was dismissed from the government service on the ground that he was convicted for an offence of theft. He challenges the dismissal on the ground that he was not given an opportunity of hearing. Is the dismissal valid?

* * *