

**B. A. LL.B. (FIVE YEAR DEGREE COURSE) (CBCS-2021 COURSE)**  
**B.A. LL.B. (5 Year) Sem - V : WINTER : 2024**  
**SUBJECT: CONSTITUTIONAL LAW : MEDIA & LAW**

Day : Friday  
Date : 22/11/2024

**W-24896-2024**

Time : 02:00 PM-04:30 PM  
Max. Marks : 60

**N.B.**

- 1) All questions are **COMPULSORY**.
- 2) All questions carry **EQUAL** marks.

**Q.1 A)** "Indian constitution nowhere mentions the freedom of media anywhere explicitly, but it not only protects the freedom, but also promotes it." justify in light of constitutional perspectives of media. (12)

**OR**

**Q.1 B)** Discuss the challenges faced by censor board posed by moral police. (12)

**Q.2 A)** 'Explain whether we need colonial legislation named official secret Act 1923 in today's age of information in light of its important provisions. (12)

**OR**

**Q.2 B)** Explain the significance of RTI Act 2005, and also state that which information cannot be declassified by RTI Act.

**Q.3 A)** Write a detailed note on law of defamation. (12)

**OR**

**Q.3 B)** "Press Council is a paper tiger" justify the said statement in the light of lack of punitive provisions of granted to Press Council. (12)

**Q.4 A)** Write a detailed note on flaws of the media. (12)

**OR**

**Q.4 B)** Discuss the position of the press during emergency, in the light of its position during the emergency declared in 1975. (12)

**Q.5 a) i)** Discuss the facts, ratio and the opinion in *Romesh Thapar v State of Madras*.

**b) ii)** One actress in an interview was asked about pre-marital sex. She answered that there is no wrong in indulging in Pre-marital sex unless the parties are of age of majority and are consenting. However they need to take care of sexual hygiene and unwanted pregnancies. One NGO was offended by the above statement of the said actress and wanted her to apologize. The said actress refused. the NGO filed a writ petition against the actress. Predict the outcome of the case.

**OR**

**a) i)** Discuss the facts, ratio and your opinion in the case of *Santosh Kumar Singh v. State through CBI* (Priyadarshani Moatoo Case.)

**b) ii)** One newspaper was constantly praising the policies of a country which is hostile to our country, and criticizing the policies of our country, the central government banned the said newspaper. The owners of the said newspaper challenged the ban predict the outcome of the case.

\*\*\*\*\*